

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United Stater Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION N		
10/042,316	01/11/2002	Osamu Shimomura	HAN 130	4413	
23995	7590 10/05/2005		EXAMINER		
RABIN & Berdo, PC 1101 14TH STREET, NW			NGUYEN, CAM LINH T		
SUITE 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2161		
DATE			DATE MAILED: 10/05/200	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

h							
	Application No.	/	Applicant(s)	-			
	10/042,316		SHIMOMURA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	CamLinh Nguyer	1 2	2161				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover	sheet with the cor	rrespondence ac	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perio - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS CC 1.136(a). In no event, howend will apply and will expire subte, cause the application to	DMMUNICATION. ever, may a reply be timely SIX (6) MONTHS from the b become ABANDONED	y filed e mailing date of this c (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23	August 2005.						
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-16 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	awn from considera						
Application Papers				·			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according an applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corresponding to the second and the second area of the second and the second area of the second	ccepted or b) objue drawing(s) be held ection is required if the	in abeyance. See 3 e drawing(s) is objec	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 C	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bures* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been rece nts have been rece ority documents ha au (PCT Rule 17.2)	ived. ived in Application ive been received (a)).	No in this National	Stage			
		•					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗌	Interview Summary (P	TO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	8) 5) 🔲	Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Pate Other:	 ent Application (PTC	D-15 <u>2</u>)			
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

Application/Control Number: 10/042,316 Page 2

Art Unit: 2161

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This Office Action is response to the RCE filed on 8/23/2005.
- 2. Applicant's amendment to claim 1 is acknowledged. Consequently, claims 1-16 are pending in this application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Okumo et al (U.S. 5,455,941).
- ♦ As per claim 1,

Okumo et al (U.S. 5,455,941) discloses a document management system for limiting user access to a registered document, comprising:

- "A first storage means for registering a document to be accessed" See Fig. 1, element 20, Fig. 2, col. 5, lines 50 52, col. 6, lines 1 2.
- "A second storage means for registering access controlling information including a specific character string and identification data" See Fig. 1, element 30, col. 5, lines 52 –
 59.
- The controlling information including a specific character string and identification data for specifying said access controlling information" See col. 6, lines 17 52 wherein

Application/Control Number: 10/042,316

Art Unit: 2161

 A specific character string corresponds to text element was appended after a specific character string (see col. 8, lines 35 – 41).

Identification data corresponds to the password that user entered to the document
 (col. 6, lines 34 – 38, 49 – 52).

Page 3

- "Wherein said identification data is added to said document if said document includes said specific character string" col. 6, lines 30 33.
- "Access to said document is limited in accordance with contents of said access controlling information, when the access to said document is thereafter requested, if said document contains added identification data" See the abstract and col. 7, lines 44 col. 8, lines 28.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 2 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okumo et al (U.S. 5,455,941) in view of Numao et al (U.S. 6,647,388).
- ♦ As per claim 2,

Okumo does not clearly disclose:

- "Said access controlling information further includes information indicating how the access to said document is limited and, when the access to said document is requested,

Application/Control Number: 10/042,316 Page 4

Art Unit: 2161

the access is defined by referring to said information indicating how the access is limited".

However, Numao on the other hand, discloses a document management system for limiting user access to a registered document, comprising the teaching of "Said access controlling information further includes information indicating how the access to said document is limited and, when the access to said document is requested, the access is defined by referring to said information indicating how the access is limited" (See Fig. 6, col. 11, lines 35 – 42, col. 15, lines 11 – 35 of Numao). Numao teaches that the rule descriptions specify how the user can access the information. The system must check for the condition if the document can be converted to another format for display to user.

- Numao also teaches that the document can be accessed in a certain time only (col. 17, lines 1 − 9).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Numao into the invention of Okumo because the combination would increase the security of document by limiting access to document using the identification data and the specific character string.

- ◆ As per claims 3, 16, the combination of Okumo and Numao disclose:
 - "Wherein it is defined whether or not said document ... includes said specific data at any one of time when said document is registered ... and time when the access to said document is request" See Fig. 4, 401, col. 9, lines 61 65 of Numao.
- ◆ As per claims 4, 12, 15, the combination of Okumo and Numao disclose:

Application/Control Number: 10/042,316

Art Unit: 2161

"Wherein said access controlling information further includes information to specify a person to be permitted to access with limitation" See col.8, lines 30 – 46, Fig. 3, 5, col.
 11, lines 1 – 4 of Numao and col. 8, lines 35 – 41 of Okumo.

Page 5

- ◆ As per claims 5, 9, 11, 14, the combination of Okumo and Numao disclose:
 - "Wherein said access controlling information further includes a valid term during which the access is limited" See col. 6, lines 23 37, col. 17, lines 3 9 of Numao.
- ◆ As per claims 6 8, 10, 13, the combination of Okumo and Numao disclose:
 - "Said controlling information is provided in a single record comprising a plurality of fields, including ID information for identifying said record, and said ID information is added to the document for relating said access controlling information to the document" See Fig. 6 of Numao, wherein the Access control policy rules are disclosed. The rule includes plurality of fields (subject, object, conditions). The ID information corresponds to the object name or the target document to be accessed.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 - 16 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CamLinh Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4024. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

Application/Control Number: 10/042,316

Art Unit: 2161

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on (571) 272-4023. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 - 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LN

FRANTZ-COBY

PRIMARY EXAMINER